IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case 3:14-cr-00494-M FOR OFFICE PARTITION PAGE 1 of 1 Page 1 92 DALLAS DIVISION

UNITI	ED STATES OF AMERICA)	
VS.)	CASE NO.: 3:14-CR-494-M (01)
CHRI	STOPHER EDWARDS, Defendant.)))	
	ORDER ACCEPTING RI UNITED STATES MAGISTE		
Magist 28 U.S Magist Court a supers	nt of the defendant, and the Report and trate Judge, and no objections thereto had been sometimed. C. § 636(b)(1), the undersigned District trate Judge concerning the Plea of Guilfaccepts the plea of guilty, and CHRIS	d Recommendation Conhaving been filed within ct Judge is of the opinion ty is correct, and it is here TOPHER EDWARDS U.S.C. § 641, that is, The	otice Regarding Entry of a Plea of Guilty, the cerning Plea of Guilty of the United States fourteen days of service in accordance with that the Report and Recommendation of the eby accepted by the Court. Accordingly, the is hereby adjudged guilty of Count 1 of the eft of Government Property. Sentence will
⊠	The defendant is ordered to remain in	n custody.	
	The Court adopts the findings of the United States Magistrate Judge by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	Upon motion, this matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination, by clear and convincing evidence, of whether the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	The defendant is ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2). The defendant shall self-surrende to the United States Marshal no later than		
	☐ The Government has recomm ☐ This matter shall be set for conditions of release for determined to the conditions of th	ood that a motion for accomended that no sentence r hearing before the Unrmination, by clear and co	§ 3143(a)(2) because the Court finds quittal or new trial will be granted, or of imprisonment be imposed, and nited States Magistrate Judge who set the privincing evidence, of whether the defendant or the community if released under § 3142(b)
	a motion alleging that there are exc detained under § 3143(a)(2). This ma who set the conditions of release for exceptional circumstances under § 31	ceptional circumstances atter shall be set for hearing determination of wheth 145(c) why the defendant ar and convincing eviden	§ 3143(a)(2) because the defendant has filed under § 3145(c) why he/she should not be ng before the United States Magistrate Judge ner it has been clearly shown that there are t should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2), nee that the defendant is likely to flee or pose der § 3142(b) or (c).

SIGNED this 2nd day of September, 2015.

BARBARA M. G.LYNN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS